

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING) WORKING GROUP STUDY

AREA OF REVIEW	DETAILS/COMMENTS
Title of Study (name of Working Group)	Development Management Process Working Group.
Appointing Panel	Overview and Scrutiny (Environmental Well-Being) Panel.
Members Assigned (including date Working Group appointed)	Councillors M G Baker, P Godley, M F Newman and J S Watt. Appointed by the Panel on 14 th July 2009.
Possible Co-Options to the Group	TBC
Interests Declared	None received.
Rapporteur	Councillor M G Baker
Officer Support	Roy Reeves, Head of Democratic and Central Services Jessica Walker, Trainee Democratic Services Officer
Purpose of Study / Objective (specify exactly what the study should achieve)	To investigate the process for the determination of planning applications and make recommendations where appropriate.
Rationale (key issues and/or reason for conducting a study)	Anecdotal evidence from Members of public concern over the pre-decision planning process.
Terms of Reference	The review will concentrate on the process leading to the determination of planning applications, not the decision making process itself or the merits of decisions. The intention will be to look at the practices and procedures from first enquiry by potential applicants to the preparation of an officer's final report and recommendations, involving pre-application advice, public consultation, plans and amendments, duration of the process and other related matters.
Links to Council Policies/Strategies	Link to Corporate Plan – To improve our systems and practices.

Methodology / Approach (what types of enquiries will be used to gather evidence)	Examination of available data; Interviews; Surveys.
External/Specialist Support	TBC
Existing Documentation	To be determined.
Evidence to be Obtained (e.g. witnesses, documents, site visits, consultation, research, etc)	Evidence to be obtained by the Democratic Services team, together with information from the Planning Division. Possible survey of sample of applicants. Consultation with Town and Parish Councils. Customer feedback & ombudsman investigations (if any). Comparison of processes with other authorities.

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY
(ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING)
WORKING GROUP STUDY**

	<p>Website Comparisons. Performance against Government Indicators. Availability of best practice advice and guidance. Cost effectiveness of process.</p>
Reference Sites	Comparable local authorities.
Investigations	To be undertaken by officers supporting the Working Group.
Witnesses	<p>Planning officers. Chairman of Development Management Panel.</p>
Site Visits (if necessary) (where and when)	Likely to be unnecessary.
Meetings of the Working Group	<p>First meeting held on Thursday August 6th 2009.</p> <p>Second meeting to be held on Thursday September 10th 2009.</p> <p>Future meeting dates to be confirmed.</p>
Costs (resource requirements, additional expenditure, time)	Officer time – both to provide support and to conduct research.
Possible Barriers to the Study (potential weaknesses)	None known at this stage.
Projected Timescale (Start and end times)	<p>Start – July 2009 Completion of study expected December 2009.</p>

